

# **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 525 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2706 PHONE: (213) 974-8301 FAX: (213) 626-5427

July 30, 2007

CHIEF DEPUTY

TO:

Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky, Chairman

Supervisor Gloria Molina Supervisor Yvonne B. Burke

Supervisor Don Knabe

Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

FROM:

J. Tyler McCaulev

Auditor-Controller

SUBJECT:

HERITAGE CLINIC AND THE COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FOR SENIORS dba GERO-NET CONTRACT COMPLIANCE REVIEW -

A DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES PROVIDER

We have completed a contract compliance review of Heritage Clinic and the Community Assistance Program for Seniors dba Gero-Net (Heritage or Agency), a Department of Mental Health (DMH) services provider.

#### Background

DMH contracts with Heritage, a private non-profit community-based organization, which provides services to clients in Service Planning Area 3. Services include interviewing program clients, assessing their mental health needs and developing and implementing a treatment plan. The Agency's headquarters is located in the Fifth District.

Our review focused on approved Medi-Cal billings. DMH paid Heritage between \$1.41 and \$3.17 per minute of staff time (\$84.60 to \$190.20 per hour). Heritage's contract was for approximately \$1 million for Fiscal Year (FY) 2006-07.

#### Purpose/Methodology

The purpose of the review was to determine whether Heritage provided the services outlined in their contract with the County. We also evaluated whether the Agency achieved planned service levels. Our monitoring visit included reviewing a sample of Board of Supervisors July 30, 2007 Page 2

Heritage's accounting records and documentation to support the Agency's compliance with the fiscal requirements of its DMH contract. We also selected a sample of Heritage's billings, client charts, and personnel and payroll records to review Heritage's compliance with DMH program requirements. In addition, we interviewed staff from Heritage and a sample of clients or their parents/guardians.

# Results of Review

Generally, Heritage provided the services in accordance with their County contract. Heritage maintained sufficient documentation to support the service minutes billed. In addition, the program clients we interviewed stated that the services they received met their expectations.

Heritage also maintained an appropriate Cost Allocation Plan and appropriately allocated indirect costs to DMH in accordance with their plan. However, the Agency used program funds totaling \$1,544 to pay for two unallowable expenditures.

We have attached the details of our review along with recommendations for corrective action.

#### **Review of Report**

We discussed the results of our review with Heritage on June 13, 2007. In their attached response, the Agency generally agreed with the results of our review and described their corrective actions to address the findings and recommendations contained in the report.

We thank Heritage management for their cooperation and assistance during this review. Please call me if you have any questions or your staff may contact Don Chadwick at (626) 293-1102.

JTM:MMO:DC

#### Attachment

c: William T Fujioka, Chief Executive Officer
Dr. Marvin J. Southard, Director, Department of Mental Health
Vatche Kelartinian, CEO, Heritage Clinic
Public Information Office
Audit Committee

# CONTRACT COMPLIANCE REVIEW HERITAGE CLINIC AND THE COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FOR SENIORS dba GERO-NET FISCAL YEAR 2005-2006

#### **BILLED SERVICES**

#### **Objective**

Determine whether Heritage Clinic and the Community Assistance Program for Seniors dba Gero-Net's (Heritage or Agency) provided the services billed in accordance with their contract with Department of Mental Health (DMH).

#### Verification

We judgmentally selected 35 billings totaling 2,945 minutes from 55,274 service minutes of approved Medi-Cal billings during the months of February and March 2006. We reviewed the Progress Notes, Assessments and Client Care Plans maintained in the clients' chart for the selected billings. The 2,945 minutes represents services provided to 30 program participants.

#### Results

Generally, Heritage maintained documentation to support the service minutes billed and the clinical staff sufficiently documented the service in the Progress Notes.

#### Assessments and Client Care Plans

Assessments for three (10%) of 30 clients sample were completed an average of 84 days beyond the required timeframe. An Assessment is a diagnostic tool used to document the clinical evaluation of each client and establish the client's mental health treatment needs.

Heritage did not maintain completed Client Care Plans for two (7%) of the 30 clients sampled. The Client Care Plan establishes goals and interventions that address the mental health issues identified in the client's Assessment. Specifically, one Client Care Plan did not contain observable and/or quantifiable goals and one Client Care Plan did not contain goals and planned interventions for each type of treatment provided.

#### Recommendations

#### Heritage management:

1. Ensure that Assessments are completed timely.

2. Ensure that Client Care Plans are completed in accordance with the County contract.

# **CLIENT VERIFICATION**

#### **Objective**

Determine whether clients received the services that Heritage billed DMH.

# Verification

We interviewed six participants that the Agency billed DMH for services during the months of February and March 2006.

#### **Results**

The six program participants interviewed stated that the services they received from the Agency met their expectations.

# **Recommendation**

There are no recommendations for this section.

# **STAFFING LEVELS**

The objective of this section is to determine whether the Agency maintained the appropriate staffing ratios for applicable services.

We did not perform test work in this section, as the Agency did not provide for services that require staffing ratios for this particular program.

# Recommendation

There are no recommendations for this section.

#### **STAFFING QUALIFICATIONS**

#### **Objective**

Determine whether Heritage treatment staff possessed the required qualifications to provide the services.

#### Verification

We reviewed the California Board of Behavioral Sciences' website and/or the Agency's personnel files for 18 of 28 Heritage treatment staff employed by the Agency during the months of February and March 2006.

#### Results

Each employee in our sample possessed the qualifications required to deliver the services billed.

#### Recommendation

There are no recommendations for this section.

## **SERVICE LEVELS**

# **Objective**

Determine whether Heritage's reported service levels varied significantly from the service levels identified in the DMH contract.

# **Verification**

We obtained the FY 2005-06 Cost Report submitted to DMH by Heritage and compared the dollar amount and billed units of service to the contracted units of service identified in the contract for the same period.

### Results

Heritage provided the service levels outlined in the County Contract.

#### Recommendation

There are no recommendations for this section.

#### CASH/REVENUE

#### **Objective**

Determine whether cash receipts and revenue were properly recorded in Heritage's financial records and deposited timely in their bank account. Determine whether there are adequate controls over cash.

# **Verification**

We interviewed Heritage's management and reviewed the Agency's records. We also reviewed the Agency's April 2006 bank reconciliation.

#### **Results**

Heritage properly recorded and deposited cash receipts timely. In addition, the Agency completed timely bank reconciliations. However, the reconciliation listed five checks totaling \$1,711 that were over one year outstanding.

#### Recommendation

3. Heritage management ensure that reconciling items are cleared from the bank reconciliations in a timely manner.

# **EXPENDITURES**

# **Objective**

Determine whether the expenditures reported to DMH were appropriate and allowable under regulations governing the contract and were properly documented.

# Verification

We reviewed the supporting documentation for 15 expenditures totaling \$14,727.

#### Results

We identified \$1,544 in our sample undocumented and unallowable expenditures that were charged to the DMH program. Specifically,

- The Agency charged \$850 for travel expenditures that were not adequately documented. The documentation provided did not include the purpose of the trips and/or the destination addresses. Therefore, we were unable to determine whether the expenditure benefited the DMH program.
- The Agency charged \$694 for a company holiday party which is not allowed.

Subsequent to our review, the Agency reduced the \$1,544 amount charged to DMH on their final Cost Report.

# Recommendation

4. Heritage management maintain sufficient documentation to support program expenditures.

#### **FIXED ASSETS/EQUIPMENT**

# **Objective**

Determine whether fixed assets and equipment charged to the DMH program are used in the mental health program and adequately safeguarded.

#### **Verification**

We interviewed staff and requested a list of fixed assets and equipment.

#### Results

Heritage did not maintain a list of fixed assets and equipment, as required. The listing should include the assigned individual, an item description, serial number or unique identifier, acquisition cost, source of funding, and the program(s) where the asset is used.

# **Recommendation**

5. Heritage management maintain a listing of the Agency's fixed assets and equipment including the assigned individual, an item description, serial number or unique identifier, acquisition cost, source of funding, and the program(s) where the asset is used.

#### COST ALLOCATION

#### **Objective**

Determine whether Heritage's Cost Allocation Plan was appropriate and that the Agency used the plan to appropriately allocate shared program expenditures.

#### Verification

We reviewed Heritage's Cost Allocation Plan, interviewed management and reviewed documentation to support the Agency's allocation of indirect costs to the DMH program.

#### Results

Heritage's Cost Allocation Plan was appropriate, and the Agency followed the plan to allocate indirect costs to the DMH program.

#### Recommendation

There are no recommendations for this section.

# The Center for Aging Resources



Heritage Clinic

447 N. El Molino Avenue Pasadena, CA 91101 (626) 577-8480 Fax (626) 577-8978

301 N, Prairie Avenue Suite 612 Inglewood, CA 90301 (310) 673-3402 Fax (310) 673-8407

1037 West Avenue N Suite 205 Palmdale, CA 93551 (661) 575-9365 Fax (661) 575-9502

1940 Market Street San Diego, CA 92102 (619) 233-3381 Fax (619) 236-8240

200 E. Washington Avenue Escondido, CA 92025 (760) 737-8642 Fax (760) 737-8918

The Community Assistance Program for Seniors Alzheimer's Day Care Centers

> 3740 E. Sierra Madre Blvd. Pasadena, CA 91107 (626) 351-5427 Fax (626) 351-2308

520 South Lark Ellen Avenue West Covina, CA 91791 (626) 917-4484 Fax (626) 917-4475

Website
www.centerforagingresources.org
www.heritageclinic.org

July 12, 2007

TO: J. Tyler McCauley Auditor-Controller

From: Heritage Clinic and the Community Assistance Program for Seniors

Subject: Contract Compliance Review Response

We, at Heritage Clinic, have reviewed the Compliance Review Report. Following is our response to a few of the items in the report:

#### Itcm#

- 1. Billed Services: Heritage has implemented a system to ensure that Assessments and Annual Updates are completed in a timely manner.
- 2. Billed Services: Heritage has implemented a system to ensure that Client Care Plans are completed in accordance with County contract.
- 3. Cash Revenue: Heritage and the CPA have developed a system to ensure that outstanding checks over 6 months are voided.
- 4. Expenditures: This item was deleted from the cost report and the end of the year financial statement.
- 5. Fixed Assets: Heritage will start maintaining a listing of the agency's minor equipments (less than \$3,000), i.e. computers, printers, etc.

Signec:

Vatche Kelartinian, MBA Chief Executive Officer

a nonprofit agency